Case 1:20-cv-04321-PAE Document 77 Filed 08/22/22 Page 1 of 2 Case 1:20-cv-04321-PAE Document 76 Filed 08/19/22 Page 1 of 2



THE CITY OF NEW YORK LAW DEPARTMENT 100 CHURCH STREET

HON. SYLVIA O. HINDS-RADIX Corporation Counsel

100 CHURCH STREET NEW YORK, NY 10007 PHILIP S. FRANK
Phone: (212) 356-0886
Fax: (212) 356-1148
E-mail: pfrank@law.nyc.gov
(not for service)

August 19, 2022

VIA ECF

Honorable Paul A. Engelmayer United States District Court Southern District of New York 500 Pearl Street New York, NY 10007

Re: M.K obo E.K. v. New York City of Department of Education, et al., 20-CV-4321 (PAE)

Your Honor:

I am an Assistant Corporation Counsel in the Office of the Corporation Counsel of the City of New York, attorney for Defendants New York City Department of Education ("DOE"), New York City Board of Education, and DOE Chancellor David C. Banks in the above-referenced action. Plaintiff, *inter alia*, seeks implementation of an underlying administrative decision pursuant to the Individuals with Disabilities Education Improvement Act ("IDEA"), 20 U.S.C. §1400, et *seq*.

I write jointly with Plaintiff's counsel, Elisa Hyman, Esq., to respectfully request a four-week extension of time, from August 22, 2022 until September 19, 2022 for the parties to file their stipulation of settlement. This is the parties' second request for such an extension, and the Court granted the parties' first request.

The reason for the requested enlargement is that the parties have agreed in writing to all of the terms of the stipulation of settlement, with the exception of one remaining term, and I will be out of the office on annual leave from August 22, 2022 until September 6, 2022. The extension of time, therefore, will enable the parties to finalize the settlement upon my return to the office. The length of the extension accounts for the two weeks that I will be out of the office as well as the professional obligations I have in multiple other matters, including settlement conferences and motion practice, the week that I return to the office.

In the alternative, if the Court is not inclined to grant the extension, Plaintiffs are applying to reopen the matter as per Your Honor's order (ECF No. 73).

Case 1:20-cv-04321-PAE Document 77 Filed 08/22/22 Page 2 of 2 Case 1:20-cv-04321-PAE Document 76 Filed 08/19/22 Page 2 of 2

The parties thank the Court for its consideration of the within.

Respectfully submitted,

s/

Philip S. Frank

Assistant Corporation Counsel

cc: All counsel (via ECF)

The Court grants the parties' request for a four-week extension. No further extensions should be expected, absent extraordinary circumstances.

SO ORDERED.

PAUL A. ENGELMAYER United States District Judge

August 22, 2022